

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA**

**IN RE:** )  
 )  
**REQUIRED FORM AND PROCEDURE** )  
**FOR REAFFIRMATION AGREEMENTS** )  
**PURSUANT TO THE BANKRUPTCY** )  
**ABUSE PREVENTION AND CONSUMER** )  
**PROTECTION ACT OF 2005** )  
 )  
 )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

**SECOND AMENDED GENERAL ORDER**

The court has determined that amendments to Bankruptcy Code §524, pursuant to the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2005, make necessary the use of a standardized Reaffirmation Agreement form. Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED**, in cases commenced on or after October 17, 2005, that:

1. All Reaffirmation Agreements filed with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of North Dakota shall be filed using Local Form NDB-240, Reaffirmation Agreement, attached hereto as Exhibit No. 1.
2. Reaffirmation Agreements which are filed and contain deficiencies will be deemed incomplete and an Order to Comply will be issued specifically outlining the deficiencies. Deficiencies which will cause the Reaffirmation Agreement to be incomplete include, but are not limited to:
  - a. Improper form(i.e. a form other than Local Form NDB-240);
  - b. Lack of requisite signatures on form or incomplete/incorrect completion of form; or
  - c. Filed without a Motion for Approval of Reaffirmation Agreement (Pro Se filers only).

3. If the defects specifically outlined in the Order to Comply are not cured within fifteen (15) days of the date of the order, no further action will be taken on the Reaffirmation Agreement.
4. Continued failure to comply with reaffirmation agreements procedures by an attorney will result in an Order to Show Cause as to why the attorney should not be held in contempt for failure to comply with previous orders of this court.

This order is effective upon the date of entry, except that the use of Local Form NDB-240 shall be enforced effective July 1, 2006,

Dated: June 5, 2006

/s/ William A. Hill  
WILLIAM A. HILL, JUDGE  
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT